



# RACE AND GENDER BREAKDOWN OF POLICE AND COMMUNITY INTERACTIONS

## ARRESTS

In 2020, there were 206 arrests, down 39.94% from the 343 arrests made in 2019. In 2020, 109 arrestees were identified as White (52.91%), 65 arrestees were identified as Black (31.55%), 19 arrestees were identified as Hispanic/Latinx (9.22%), four were identified as Asian/Pacific Islander (1.94%), eight were identified as Middle Eastern/East Indian (3.88%), and one was identified as Native American/Alaskan Native (0.49%). Of the 206 arrests in 2020, 171 identified as males (83%) and 35 identified as females (13%). Juveniles accounted for three arrests in 2020 (1.46%).




**2020 Arrestee Residency Breakdown**



<b>2020 Arrests: 206</b>			
<b>Race</b>		<b>Gender</b>	
White	109	Male	171
Black	65	Female	35
Hispanic/Latinx	19	Unidentified	
Asian/Pacific Islander	4		
Middle Eastern/East Indian	8		
Native American/Alaskan Native	1		
Unclassified	0		
<b>Total:</b>	<b>206</b>	<b>Total:</b>	<b>206</b>

In 2020, there were 778 Part A crimes. Compared to 2019, where 608 Part A crimes were reported, crime is up 27.96%. Below is a graph detailing the total Part A crimes to number of arrests that have occurred in the past 10 years.



Of the 206 arrests in 2020, 49 identified as residents of Brookline by providing a residential address within the Town’s borders (23.8%). The remaining 157 arrestees identified as non-Brookline residents by providing a residential address in other municipalities and states (76.2%). In 2019, residents of Brookline accounted for 19% of arrestees. In 2018, residents of Brookline accounted for 26.2% of arrestees. In 2017, residents of Brookline accounted for 17.2% of arrestees. In 2016, residents of Brookline accounted for 22.5% of arrestees. Below are several charts outlining the breakdown of resident and non-resident arrestees over the past five years.

2016 Arrests:	Resident		Non-Resident		Total	Total %
White	50	11.2%	109	24.3%	159	35.5%
Black	15	3.3%	136	30.4%	151	33.7%
Latinx	14	3.1%	81	18.1%	95	21.2%
Asian	11	2.5%	12	2.7%	23	5.1%
Middle Eastern	5	1.1%	6	1.3%	11	2.5%
Native American	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Other	6	1.3%	3	0.7%	9	2.0%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>22.5%</b>	<b>347</b>	<b>77.5%</b>	<b>448</b>	<b>100.0%</b>


<b>2017 Arrests:</b>	<b>Resident</b>		<b>Non-Resident</b>		<b>Total</b>	<b>Total %</b>
White	27	7.5%	127	35.3%	154	42.8%
Black	12	3.3%	103	28.6%	115	31.9%
Latinx	14	3.9%	52	14.4%	66	18.3%
Asian	5	1.4%	8	2.2%	13	3.6%
Middle Eastern	3	0.8%	6	1.7%	9	2.5%
Native American	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	2	0.6%
Other	0	0.0%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%
<b>Total:</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>17.2%</b>	<b>298</b>	<b>82.8%</b>	<b>360</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

<b>2018 Arrests:</b>	<b>Resident</b>		<b>Non-Resident</b>		<b>Total</b>	<b>Total %</b>
White	46	15.9%	78	26.9%	124	42.8%
Black	9	3.1%	73	25.2%	82	28.3%
Latinx	13	4.5%	55	19.0%	68	23.4%
Asian	6	2.1%	3	1.0%	9	3.1%
Middle Eastern	2	0.7%	4	1.4%	6	2.1%
Native American	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Other	0	0.0%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>26.2%</b>	<b>214</b>	<b>73.8%</b>	<b>290</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

<b>2019 Arrests:</b>	<b>Resident</b>		<b>Non-Resident</b>		<b>Total</b>	<b>Total %</b>
White	44	12.8%	94	27.4%	138	40.2%
Black	11	3.2%	105	30.6%	116	33.8%
Latinx	5	1.5%	61	17.8%	66	19.2%
Asian	2	0.6%	11	3.2%	13	3.8%
Middle Eastern	3	0.9%	5	1.5%	8	2.3%
Native American	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Other	0	0.0%	2	0.6%	2	0.6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>19.0%</b>	<b>278</b>	<b>81.0%</b>	<b>343</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

<b>2020 Arrests:</b>	<b>Resident</b>		<b>Non-Resident</b>		<b>Total</b>	<b>Total %</b>
White	30	14.6%	83	40.3%	113	54.9%
Black	10	4.9%	52	25.2%	62	30.1%
Latinx	4	1.9%	16	7.8%	20	9.7%
Asian	3	1.5%	2	1.0%	5	2.4%
Middle Eastern	2	1.0%	3	1.5%	5	2.4%
Native American	0	0.0%	1	0.5%	1	0.5%
Other	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>23.8%</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>76.2%</b>	<b>206</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

Arrests per 1K black resident population				
Year	# of Black residents Arrested	Black Residents Pop		Rate
2013	30	1,997	1000	15.02
2014	26	1,997	1000	13.02
2015	16	1,997	1000	8.01
2016	15	1,997	1000	7.51
2017	12	1,997	1000	6.01
2018	9	1,997	1000	4.51
2019	11	1,997	1000	5.51
2020	10	1,997	1000	5.01




In 2020, there were 0 sex offenders registered in our records management system. There were two individuals placed in protective custody. Both individuals were identified as Hispanic/Latinx males. Additionally, seven individuals in the custody of various federal agencies were housed at the Brookline Police Department on behalf of the agencies until they could be brought to court.


In comparison to the 2020 arrests, in 2019 there were 343 arrests which was a 18% increase from the 290 arrests made in 2018. In 2019, 40.2% of arrestees were identified as White, 33.8% of arrestees were identified as Black, 19.2% of arrestees were identified as Hispanic/Latinx, 3.8% of arrestees were identified as Asian/Pacific Islander, 2.3% of arrestees were identified as Middle Eastern/East Indian, no arrestees identified as Native American/Alaskan Native and 0.6% of arrestees were unidentified. Additionally, 82.2% of arrestees identified as male and 17.8% identified as female. In 2019, nine arrestees were juveniles (under the age of 18 at the time of arrest).

The following two graphs compare the percent breakdown by race and gender of persons arrested over a three year period.

### Arrests By Race - 3 Year Comparison




### Arrests By Gender - 3 Year Comparison




## FIELD INTERROGATIONS

In 2020, there were 36 field interrogations conducted, which is a decrease of 5.26% from the 38 field interrogations conducted in 2019. Of the 36 field interrogations, 17 were identified as White (47.22%), 11 were identified as Black (30.56%), 1 was identified as Hispanic/Latinx (2.78%), 5 were identified as Asian/Pacific Islander (13.89%), 2 were identified as Middle Eastern/East Indian (5.56%), and none were identified as Native American/Alaskan Native. Of the 36 field interrogations, 30 were identified as male (83%) and 6 identified as female (17%). The following two graphs compare the percent breakdown by race and gender of field interrogations in 2020.

2020 Field Interrogations by Race and Gender





2020 Field Interrogations by Gender



2020 Field Interrogations: 36			
Race		Gender	
White	17	Male	30
Black	11	Female	6
Hispanic/Latinx	1	Unidentified	0
Asian/Pacific Islander	5		
Middle Eastern/East Indian	2		
Native American/Alaskan Native	0		
Unclassified	0		
<b>Total:</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>Total:</b>	<b>36</b>

The following two graphs compare the percent breakdown of individuals subject to a field interrogation by race and gender over a three year period.



Of the 36 field interrogations conducted in 2020, 6 individuals identified as residents of Brookline (17%) and 30 individuals identified as non-Brookline residents (83%). In 2019, residents of Brookline accounted for 34.2% of field interrogations. In 2018, residents of Brookline accounted for 26.9% of field interrogations. In 2017, residents of Brookline accounted for 25% of field interrogations. In 2016, residents of Brookline accounted for 12.8% of field interrogations.

Below are several charts outlining the breakdown of resident non-resident field interrogations for the past five years.

<b>2016 Fis:</b>	<b>Resident</b>		<b>Non-Resident</b>		<b>Total</b>	<b>Total %</b>
<b>White</b>	5	10.6%	11	23.4%	16	34.0%
<b>Black</b>	0	0.0%	17	36.2%	17	36.2%
<b>Latinx</b>	0	0.0%	12	25.5%	12	25.5%
<b>Asian</b>	1	2.1%	0	0.0%	1	2.1%
<b>Middle Eastern</b>	0	0.0%	1	2.1%	1	2.1%
<b>Native American</b>	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>Other</b>	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	6	12.8%	41	87.2%	47	100.0%

<b>2016 Fis:</b>	<b>Resident</b>		<b>Non-Resident</b>		<b>Total</b>	<b>Total %</b>
<b>Male</b>	4	8.5%	39	83.0%	43	91.5%
<b>Female</b>	2	4.3%	2	4.3%	4	8.5%
<b>Total</b>	6	12.8%	41	87.2%	47	100.0%

<b>2017 Fis:</b>	<b>Resident</b>		<b>Non-Resident</b>		<b>Total</b>	<b>Total %</b>
<b>White</b>	5	10.4%	10	20.8%	15	31.3%
<b>Black</b>	5	10.4%	18	37.5%	23	47.9%
<b>Latinx</b>	0	0.0%	4	8.3%	4	8.3%
<b>Asian</b>	1	2.1%	0	0.0%	1	2.1%
<b>Middle Eastern</b>	0	0.0%	2	4.2%	2	4.2%
<b>Native American</b>	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>Other</b>	1	2.1%	2	4.2%	3	6.3%
<b>Total</b>	12	25.0%	36	75.0%	48	100.0%

<b>2017 Fis:</b>	<b>Resident</b>		<b>Non-Resident</b>		<b>Total</b>	<b>Total %</b>
<b>Male</b>	11	22.9%	28	58.3%	39	81.3%
<b>Female</b>	1	2.1%	8	16.7%	9	18.8%
<b>Total</b>	12	25.0%	36	75.0%	48	100.0%



2018 Fis:	Resident		Non-Resident		Total	Total %
White	3	11.5%	7	26.9%	10	38.5%
Black	2	7.7%	7	26.9%	9	34.6%
Latinx	1	3.8%	3	11.5%	4	15.4%
Asian	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Middle Eastern	1	3.8%	2	7.7%	3	11.5%
Native American	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Other	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>26.9%</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>73.1%</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

2018 Fis:	Resident		Non-Resident		Total	Total %
Male	9	34.6%	14	53.8%	23	88.5%
Female	1	3.8%	2	7.7%	3	11.5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>38.5%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>61.5%</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

2019 Fis:	Resident		Non-Resident		Total	Total %
White	10	26.3%	7	18.4%	17	44.7%
Black	0	0.0%	13	34.2%	13	34.2%
Latinx	2	5.3%	5	13.2%	7	18.4%
Asian	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Middle Eastern	1	2.6%	0	0.0%	1	2.6%
Native American	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Other	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>34.2%</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>65.8%</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

2019 Fis:	Resident		Non-Resident		Total	Total %
Male	9	23.7%	21	55.3%	30	78.9%
Female	2	5.3%	6	15.8%	8	21.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>28.9%</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>71.1%</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>100.0%</b>


2020 Fis:	Resident		Non-Resident		Total	Total %
White	4	11.1%	13	36.1%	17	47.2%
Black	0	0.0%	11	36.7%	11	30.6%
Latinx	0	0.0%	1	2.8%	1	2.8%
Asian	2	5.6%	3	8.3%	5	13.9%
Middle Eastern	0	0.0%	2	5.6%	2	5.6%
Native American	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Other	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>83.3%</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

2020 Fis:	Resident		Non-Resident		Total	Total %
Male	6	16.7%	24	66.7%	30	83.3%
Female	0	0.0%	6	16.7%	6	16.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>83.3%</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>100.0%</b>


## MOVING VIOLATIONS

In 2020, there were 3,400 moving violations issued, which is a decrease of 75.26% from the 13,745 issued in 2019. Of the motorists issued moving violations in 2020, 57.44% were identified as White, 18.26% were identified as Black, 7.88% were identified as Asian/Pacific Islander, 11.18% were identified as Hispanic/Latinx, 4.56% were identified as Middle Eastern/East Indian, none were identified as Native American/Alaskan Native, and 0.68% were not identified by a race category. Of these moving violations, 59.21% were issued to individuals identified as male, 40.41% were issued to individuals identified as female, and 0.38% were issued to individuals without a gender identified.

Out of the 3,400 moving violations issued in 2020, the majority of traffic stops did not result in a non-inventory search (99.94%) and there were two unknown instances in which a non-inventory motor vehicle search was conducted (0.06%).



## 2020 Moving Violations by Gender




2020 Moving Violations/Citations			
Race		Gender	
White	1,953	Male	2,013
Black	621	Female	1,374
Hispanic/Latinx	380	Unidentified	13
Asian/Pacific Islander	268		
Middle Eastern/East Indian	155		
Native American/Alaskan Native	0		
Unclassified	23		
<b>Total:</b>	<b>3,400</b>	<b>Total:</b>	<b>3,400</b>


By comparison, in 2019, there were 13,745 moving violations issued. Of the motorists issued moving violations in 2019, 58.1% were identified as White, 16.8% were identified as Black, 8.4% were identified as Asian/Pacific Islander, 11.2% were identified as Hispanic/Latinx, 4.6% were identified as Middle Eastern/East Indian, none were identified as Native American/Alaskan Native, and 0.9% were not identified by a race category.

The following two graphs compare the percent breakdown by race and gender of persons issued a moving violation over a three year period.

### Moving Violations By Race - 3 Year Comparison



### Moving Violations By Gender - 3 Year Comparison



## CIVILIAN COMPLAINTS

In 2020, there were two civilian complaints filed with the Office of Professional Responsibility.

The first complaint was filed against two unknown Police Officers for racial profiling and unlawful stop. After a thorough investigation by the Office of Professional Responsibility, the two unknown Police Officers could not be identified by the complainant, with the possibility that they were not members of the Brookline Police Department. As a result, the complaint had a finding of filed.

The second complaint was filed against multiple unknown Police Officers (not identified by the complainant) for discourtesy and rudeness. After a thorough investigation by the Office of Professional Responsibility, the complaint was withdrawn by the complainant.

The relationship between complainant/officer, the nature of the complaint and the findings are below:

<b>Employee</b>	<b>Complainant</b>	<b>Complaint</b>	<b>Finding</b>
Unknown/Unknown	Black Male	Racial Profiling/ Unlawful Stop	Filed
Multiple/Unknown	White Male	Discourtesy/Rudeness	Withdrawn

As in years past, the Brookline Police Department continues to utilize the Early Intervention Program (EIP), when appropriate, with employees who receive civilian complaints. The EIP provides for increased supervision and training.